```
Let h = f * g, i.e., h(x) = f(x) * g(x) for all x \in \mathbb{R}.
                        If f and g are continuous, prove that h is continuous.
                        Note: Prove it directly using the definition of continuity. You
                        may not simply cite the proposition in the notes that states this result.
 f& g are continuous at Xo:
\forall \, \xi' \, \forall \, 0, \, \exists \, \delta_1 \, \delta_1 \, \xi_1 \, | \, x - x_0 \, | \, \langle \, \delta_1 \, | \, \rangle \, | \, f(x) \, - f(x_0) \, | \, \langle \, \xi' \, | \, \rangle
              \delta z = |x-x_0| < \delta_z = 1 |g(x) - g(x_0)| < \xi'
 Take \delta = \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}.
 WTS: ₩ €70, 3 8 s.t. | X - Xo | < 8 => | f(x)g(x) - f(xo)g(xo) | < 8
f(x) g(x) - f(x) g(x_0) + f(x) g(x_0) - f(x_0) g(x_0)
  \leq |f(x)g(x) - f(x)g(x_0)| + |f(x)g(x_0) - f(x_0)g(x_0)|
      = (f(x)) |g(x) - g(x_0)| + |g(x_0)| |f(x) - f(x_0)|
                                                                                of not bounded
Like last time, we need to find bounds for |f(x)| and |g(x0)|.
How do we know If(x) | is bounded for x s.t. |x-x0 | < 8?
By continuity of f! We have |f(x) - f(x₀) | < \x \ x \ s.t. (x-x₀) < \x)
So SUP |f(x)| = M exists. We can choose M > 0 s.t. |g(x_0)| < M.
(You can also write |f(x)| < |f(x0)| + &', where |f(x0)| is just a cons -tank
|f(x)g(x) - f(x)g(x_0) + f(x)g(x_0) - f(x_0)g(x_0)|
      \leq |f(x)||g(x)-g(x_0)|+|g(x_0)||f(x)-f(x_0)|
      < 2ME' = E
```

So we have $\forall \ \xi > 0$, take $\xi' = \frac{\xi}{zM}$, then $\exists \ \delta \ s.t. \ |x - x_0| < \delta$

 $=) |f(x)g(x)-f(x_0)g(x_0)|<\xi.$

1. (5pts) Let $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ and $g: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$.

2. (5pts) Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ be compact and suppose that $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous. Prove that f(X) is a compact set in \mathbb{R} .

Note: Recall that $f(X) = \{f(x) | x \in X\}.$

We use Heine-Borel/Bolzano-Weierstrass, which says a set is compact iff it's closed and bounded.

You can directly use the extreme value theorem to show that f(X) is bounded because it attains max and min.

If you want to show this formally, suppose f(X) is not bounded. Then $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \exists x_n \in X \text{ s.t. } |f(x_n)| > n$. Take the sequence x_n . Since X is compact (hence closed and bounded), x_n has a convergent subsequence $x_{n_k} \to x \in X$ (by Bolzano Weierstrass and closedness of X). By sequential continuity of f, $f(x_{n_k}) \to f(x)$. But for all $n_k \in \mathbb{N}, |f(x_{n_k})| > n_k \implies f(n_{n_k}) \to \infty$. Contradiction. So f(X) is bounded.

To show f(X) is closed, take any convergent sequence $y_n \in f(X)$. Then $y_n \to y \in \mathbb{R}$. We need to show this limit point $y \in f(X)$.

For each $y_n \in f(X)$, there exists a corresponding $x_n \in X$. Since X is closed and bounded, x_n has a convergent subsequence $x_{n_k} \to x \in X$. By sequential continuity of f, $f(x_{n_k}) = y_{n_k} \to f(x) \in f(X)$. But $\lim y_{n_k} = \lim y_n \implies y = f(x) \in f(X)$. Hence f(X) is closed.

Finally, closedness and boundedness of f(X) give us f(X) is compact.

3. (5pts) Suppose that $f: \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}$ is convex.

Let $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in [0, 1]$ be such that $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = 1$.

Let $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}^k$.

Prove that $f(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i x_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i f(x_i)$.

Note: This is known as Jensen's Inequality.

Friendly reminder: f is convex if $f(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y) \le \alpha f(x) + (1 - \alpha)f(y)$ for all $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^k$.

We prove this by induction.

Base case: n=2 is taken care of by the definition of the convexity of f.

Induction step: suppose $f(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i x_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i f(x_i)$, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i = 1$ holds for n = m > 2.

Consider n = m + 1.

$$f(\sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \alpha_i x_i) = f(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i x_i + \alpha_{m+1} x_{m+1})$$

$$= f\left((1 - \alpha_{m+1}) \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\alpha_i}{1 - \alpha_{m+1}} x_i + \alpha_{m+1} x_{m+1}\right)$$

$$\leq (1 - \alpha_{m+1}) f(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\alpha_i}{1 - \alpha_{m+1}} x_i) + \alpha_{m+1} f(x_{m+1}) \quad (1)$$

$$\leq (1 - \alpha_{m+1}) \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\alpha_i}{1 - \alpha_{m+1}} f(x_i) + \alpha_{m+1} f(x_{m+1}) \quad (2)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \alpha_i f(x_i)$$

where (1) follows from f is convex, \mathbb{R}^k is convex and module 3 proposition 3; (2) follows from the induction hypothesis for n = m.

$\max\{f,g\} = \{(f+g) + \{1f-g\}\}.$

4. (5pts) Suppose $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ and $g: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ are convex. Let $h \equiv \max\{f, g\}$, i.e., $h(x) = \max\{f(x), g(x)\}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Prove that h is convex.

Convexity of f and g gives: for all x and $y \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$,

$$f(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y) \le \alpha f(x) + (1 - \alpha)f(y)$$

$$g(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y) \le \alpha g(x) + (1 - \alpha)g(y)$$

It follows:

$$\begin{split} & \max\{f(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y), g(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y)\} \\ & \leq \max\{\alpha f(x) + (1 - \alpha)f(y), \alpha g(x) + (1 - \alpha)g(y)\} \\ & \leq \max\left\{\alpha \max\{f(x), g(x)\} + (1 - \alpha)\max\{f(y), g(y)\}, \alpha \max\{f(x), g(x)\} + (1 - \alpha)\max\{f(y), g(y)\}\right\} \\ & = \alpha \max\{f(x), g(x)\} + (1 - \alpha)\max\{f(y), g(y)\} \end{split}$$

Hence $h = \max\{f, g\}$ is convex.

5. (Extra Credit: 2 pts) Prove that $f(x) = x^{0.5}$ is continuous on $[0, \infty)$.

Hint 1: When showing continuity at $x_0 \in [0, \infty)$, treat the cases where $x_0 = 0$ and $x_0 > 0$ separately.

Hint 2: Note that $x - x_0 = (x^{0.5} - x_0^{0.5})(x^{0.5} + x_0^{0.5}).$

Case 1: $x_0 = 0$

For all $\epsilon > 0$, $\exists \delta = \epsilon^2$ s.t. $|x - 0| < \delta \implies |x^{0.5} - 0^{0.5}| = x^{0.5} < \delta^{0.5} = \epsilon$. Hence f(x) is continuous at $x_0 = 0$.

Case 2: $x_0 > 0$

For all $\epsilon > 0$, $\exists \delta = \epsilon \cdot (x_0^{0.5})$ such that $|x - x_0| < \delta \implies$

$$|x^{0.5} - x_0^{0.5}| = \underbrace{|x - x_0|}_{|x^{0.5} + x_0^{0.5}|} < \underbrace{\frac{x^{0.5} - x_0^{0.5}}{\delta}}_{\delta} = \epsilon \frac{x_0^{0.5}}{x^{0.5} + x_0^{0.5}} < \epsilon$$

Hence f is continuous on $[0, \infty)$.

Ex. If f & g are continuous at X_0 . Then $\frac{f}{g}$ is continuous at $X_0(g(X_0) \neq 0)$

Let's first show "if 9 is cont. at xo, then $\frac{1}{9}$ is cont."

$$\left|\frac{1}{g(x)} - \frac{1}{g(x_0)}\right| = \left|\frac{g(x_0)}{g(x_0)} \frac{1}{g(x)} - \frac{g(x)}{g(x)} \frac{1}{g(x_0)}\right| = \left|\frac{1}{g(x_0)g(x)}\right| \cdot \left|\frac{g(x_0) - g(x)}{g(x)}\right|$$

Neet to find a bound for $\left|\frac{1}{9(x)}\right|$.

Note although g(x) is locally bounded by $(|g(x_0)| - \xi, |g(x_0)| + \xi)$ over $x \in B_s(x_0)$, we don't know the magnitude of $||g(x_0)| - \xi|$ and so can't say $\left|\frac{1}{g(x)}\right| < \left|\frac{1}{|g(x_0)| - \xi}\right|$.

A clever way to find a local bound:

- ① for $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{z} |g(x_0)|$, $\exists \delta_1 \text{ s.t. } |x x_0| < \delta_1 = 7 |g(x) g(x_0)| < \frac{1}{z} |g(x_0)|$ and here we can say $\left| \frac{1}{g(x)} \right| < \left| \frac{1}{|g(x_0)| - \varepsilon} \right| = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{z} |g(x_0)|}$
- 2 Take any $\varepsilon > 0$. For this ε , choose $\varepsilon' = \frac{\varepsilon}{2} g(x_0)^2$. Then $\exists \delta_z \in \mathbb{R}$. $|x x_0| < \delta_z \Rightarrow |g(x) g(x_0)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} g(x_0)^2$

Now, for any
$$\varepsilon > 0$$
, take $\delta = \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$. Then:
$$\left|\frac{1}{g(x)} - \frac{1}{g(x)}\right| = \left|\frac{g(x_0)}{g(x_0)} \frac{1}{g(x)} - \frac{g(x)}{g(x)} \frac{1}{g(x_0)}\right|$$

$$= \left|\frac{1}{g(x_0)g(x)}\right| \cdot \left|g(x_0) - g(x)\right|$$

$$< \frac{1}{\frac{1}{z}|g(x_0)|^2} \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{z} g(x_0)^2 = \varepsilon.$$

Then, since $f \in \frac{1}{9}$ both cont. at x_0 , so is $f \cdot \frac{1}{9} = \frac{f}{9}$.

Lemma $X_n \to X$ iff \forall subsequence X_{n_k} , \exists sub-subsequence $X_{n_{k_l}} \to X$. "If": \forall subseq. X_{n_k} , \exists $X_{n_k} \to X$ \Rightarrow $X_n \to X$. Suppose $X_n \neq X$. Then \exists E > 0 s.t. \forall $N \in \mathbb{N}$, \exists n > N s.t. $|X_n - X| \ni E$. $\exists n_1 > 1 \text{ s.t. } | x_{n_1} - x | > \epsilon$ $\exists n_2 > \max\{2, n_1\} \text{ s.t. } | x_{n_2} - x | > \epsilon$

Construct a subsequence $\times n_k$ by doing so. Then \forall sub-subsequence $\times n_{k_l}$. We have \forall N \in IN, n_{k_l} > N but $|\times n_{k_l} - \times|$ > ε =7 $\times n_k$ doesn't have a Convergent sub-subsequence. Contradiction.

"Only if": Xn → X => Y Xnk ∃ Xnk, → X.

Follows from: "If a sequence converges, then every subsequence converges to the same limit." (Module 1, Ex. 24)

 $X_N \rightarrow X =) \forall \xi > 0, \exists N \in N \ 5.t. \ N \Rightarrow |X_N - X| < \xi$

Let Xn_k be any subseq. Then $N_k > N \Rightarrow |xn_k - x| < \epsilon$.